$F(Au_2)$ and $\nu(Au_2)$ values of 0.76 mdyn \AA^{-1} and 114 cm⁻¹, respectively, not 185 cm⁻¹. For $Au_2(S_2CN(C_4H_9)_2)_2$, the closest Raman feature is found at 138 cm^{-1} and was attributed to a Au-S-C deformation **on** the basis of polarization data. These observations are consistent with those of Fackler, Clark, et al.,³⁰ and it appears that the $Au_2(S_2CNR_2)_2$ spectra need to be reinvestigated.

The $F(Ag_2)$ value for $Ag_2(dmpm)_2Br_2$ cannot be accurately obtained using conventional equations (diatomic model, valence force field expressions for linear $X-M-M-X$ units³³). The diatomic model suggests that $F(Ag_2)$ would be 0.073 mdyn A^{-1} , while the valence force field equations cannot be solved.³⁴ In the former case, the presence of quasi-linearly bonded bromides (r(AgBr) = 2.74 **A)** has **been** wrongly ignored. Nevertheless, the main conclusion from these simple calculations is that $F(Ag₂)$ is indeed small. Vibrational and structural literature data for Ag₂ compounds are scarce. Only four data points have been used (Table IX) in order to approximate a relationship similar to *eq* 3:

$$
r(\text{Ag}_2) = -0.30 \ln F(\text{Ag}_2) + 2.5 \tag{4}
$$

Using $r(Ag_2) = 3.60$ Å, a value of 0.03 mdyn Å⁻¹ is calculated for $F(\mathbf{Ag}_2)$. This value compares favorably with those listed in

Table VII and is consistent with the fact that for similar $r(M_2)$ values (3.60 Å for $Ag_2(dmpm)_2Br_2$ and 3.54 Å for $Au_2(dmb)$ - $(CN)_2$, $F(Ag_2)$ should be smaller than $F(Au_2)$.³⁵

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the NSERC and FCAR. D.P. thanks the Universite de Sherbrooke for an institutional graduate fellowship. W.P.S. and V.M.M. thank the NSF for Grant CHE-8219039 to purchase the diffractometer and the **Exxon** Educational Foundation for financial support. P.D.H. acknowledges Professor I. S. Butler (McGill University) for providing access to the Raman instruments and Mr. Y. Huang (McGill University) and Dr. Fran Adar (ISA) for technical assistance in the measurements of some of the Raman spectra.

Registry No. $Ag_2(dmpm)_2Br_2$, 137945-44-9; $(Au_2(dmpm)_2)(PF_6)$, 137945-45-0; Pd₂(dppm)₃, 37266-95-8; Pt₂(dppm)₃, 37266-96-9; [Ag₂- $(dppm)_3$](PF₆)₂, 137964-62-6; [Ag₂(dmpm)₂](PF₆)₂, 81343-14-8; Pd₂- $(\text{dmb})_2(\text{CN})_4$, 137945-46-1; $\text{Au}_2(\text{dmb})(\text{CN})_2$, 124719-87-5; Au₂- $(dmpm)₂Cl₂, 137945-47-2.$

Supplementary **Material** Available: Tables of atomic coordinates, bond distances, bond angles, anisotropic thermal parameters, and hydrogen atom positions for $Ag_2(dmpm)_2Br_2$ and $[Au_2(dmpm)_2](PF_6)_2$ and a table showing a comparison between the Woodruff and reparametrized Herschbach-Laurie rules for Au_2 compounds (5 pages); listings of observed and calculated structure factors (18 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242

Electron Transfer. 111. Disproportionation of Carboxylato-Bound Chromium(IV). Catalysis by Manganese(II)¹

M. **C.** Ghosh,* E. Gelerinter, and E. **S.** Gould*

Received May 22, *1991*

Tetrapositive chromium, Cr(IV), a state stabilized in aqueous solution through ligation by anions of branched α -hydroxy acids, undergoes decay by a combination of disproportionation $(2Cr^{IV} \rightarrow Cr^{III} + Cr^{V})$ and ligand oxidation. In solutions having pH 2.5-4.0, buffered by 2-ethyl-2-hydroxybutanoic acid (HLig) and its anion (Lig-), disproportionation is very nearly quantitative and is found to be catalyzed markedly by Mn(II). Both the $\widetilde{C}r(V)$ and $Cr(III)$ products are bis chelates. In the absence of Mn(II), the disproportionation is second order in $Cr(IV)$, and observed variation of rates with $[H^+]$ and $[Lig^-]$ points to a predominant reaction path entailing reactions of two units of the deprotonated complex, $Cr^{IV}(OH)$, which species is also an important contributor to the Mn(II)-catalyzed reaction. For the latter, a nonlinear rate dependence on $[Mn^{\mu}]$ indicates the formation of a Cr(IV)-Mn(II) adduct $(K = 52)$. Bridged precursor complexes are suggested for both the uncatalyzed (Cr^{IV} –O-Cr^{IV}) and catalyzed (Cr^{IV} – OH-Mn¹¹) reactions, and it is proposed that the catalyzed path involves a rate-determining conversion of Mn(II) to Mn(III), a state having a le- reduction potential near the gap between those for Cr(IV,III) and Cr(V,IV). In addition to this potential constraint, it is desirable that ligand substitutions about both states of the catalyst be more rapid than those about $Cr(V)$. Among the various le⁻ redox couples derived from other d- and f-block metal centers, only Ce(IV,III) appears to conform to these requirements, but action in this case is complicated by preferential oxidation of the ligand by $Ce(IV)$.

Both atypical oxidation states of chromium, $Cr(V)^2$ and $Cr (IV)$,³ may be stabilized in solution through chelation by anions of branched α -hydroxy acids such as 2-ethyl-2-hydroxybutanoic acid (I). However, the two states are not equally persistent.

$$
\begin{array}{c} (C_2H_5)_2C(OH)COOH \\ I\end{array}
$$

Aqueous solutions of $Cr(V)$, in the presence of an excess of the ligating anion, remain virtually unchanged in the dark at room temperature for up to 24 h, whereas $Cr(IV)$ solutions, given comparable conditions, suffer perceptible loss within a few minutes. The latter state undergoes both disproportionation (eq 1) and $2Cr(IV) \rightarrow Cr(III) + Cr(V)$ (1)

$$
2Cr(IV) \rightarrow Cr(III) + Cr(V)
$$
 (1)

$$
2Cr(IV) \rightarrow Cr(III) + Cr(V)
$$
\n
$$
2Cr(IV) + R_2C(OH)COO \rightarrow 2Cr(III) + R_2C = 0 + CO_2 + H^+(2)
$$

reduction by the parent ligand (eq 2) with the relative importance of these two modes of attrition dependent both on the acidity of the medium and on the nature and concentration of added tran-
sition-metal ions.

⁽³³⁾ Herzberg, G. Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure; Van Nostrand: New **York,** 1945; Vol. **11,** p 180.

⁽³⁴⁾ Assuming that the assignment for the Raman-active $\nu(AgBr)$ at 75 cm is correct (for the quasi-linear Br-Ag-Ag-Br moieties shown in struc-
ture I, $r(AgBr) = 2.74$ Å), the valence force field calculations for an $X-M-M-\tilde{X}$ system (ignoring the presence of perpendicularly bonded phosphine and weakly bonded bromine (r(AgBr) = 2.9 **A)** yield imaginary solutions.

^{(35) (}a) For the isostructural $M_2(dppm)$, complexes $(M = Pd, Pt)$ where r(M2) - 3.0 **A,35b** the F(M2) values are 0.45 **(M** = Pd) and 0.60 mdyn **A-'** (M = **Pt)."** (b) Manojlovic-Muir, L. J.; Muir, K. W.; Grossel, M. C.; Brown, M. P.; Nelson, C. P.; Yavari, A.; Kallas, E.; Moulding, **R.** P.; Seddon, K. R. J. Chem. *Soc.,* Dalton Trans. 1986, 1955. (c) Kirss, R. V.; Eisenberg, R. Inorg. Chem. 1989, 28, 3312.

⁽¹⁾ Sponsorship of this work by the National Science Foundation (Grant 9019840) is gratefully acknowledged.

⁽²⁾ See, for example: (a) Krumpolc, M.; DeBoer, B. B.; Roček, J. J. Am.
 Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 145. (b) Krumpolc, M.; Roček, J. *Ibid.* 1979, of these two modes

101, 3206. (c) Gould, E. S. Acc. Chem. Res. 1986, 19, 66.

Table I. Stoichiometry of the Decomposition of Carboxylato-Bound Chromium(1V)

method	$10^{3}[Cr^{IV}]$, M^a	10^3 [Mn ^{II}], М	Cr^V , % ^d	Cr^{III} . % ^d
spectral ^b	10.0	0	42	
	4.5	0	43	
	7.0	30	48	
	4.0	30	46	
ESR	0.80	0	43	
	0.80	8.0	50	
ion exchange ^c	10.0	0		50

 ${}^{\circ}Cr(IV)$ was generated by treatment of a known concentration of Cr(V1) with a 3-fold excess of As(II1) in a solution 0.050 M each in 2-ethyl-2-hydroxybutanoic acid (I) and its sodium salt. ^bCalculated from measurements at 512 nm, using *eq* 3 in text. 'Cr(II1) product was eluted from anion-exchange resin with water after oxidation of $Cr(V)$ with acidified $BrO₃$ (see Experimental Section). ^dPercent of chromium taken.

The present report describes the decomposition of $Cr(IV)$ as generated by reduction of HCrO₄⁻ with As(III)^{3b} at pH 2.5-4.0, under which conditions disproportionation heavily predominates. This reaction is found to be catalyzed dramatically by Mn(I1).

Experimental Section

Materials. Sodium dichromate (MCB, reagent grade) and the "ligand acid" 2-ethyl-2-hydroxybutanoic acid (HLig, I) (Aldrich) were used as received. Solutions of As(II1) were prepared by suspending reagent grade As₂O₃ (Fisher) in water and then adding 1.0 M NaOH dropwise, with continuous stirring, until solution was complete. Solutions of Mn(I1) were prepared by dissolving $Mn(C1O_4)_2.6H_2O$ (Aldrich) in 0.05 M HCIO₄. Solutions of NaCIO₄ were prepared by neutralizing HCIO₄ with NaHCO,. Chromium(1V) solutions were generated by treating 0.01 M As(III) in ligand buffer (HLig + Lig⁻) with a known deficiency of $Cr(VI)$; in accord with the results of earlier work,^{3b} conversion of $Cr(VI)$ to Cr(1V) was considered to be essentially complete after 30-200 s, depending upon $[H^+]$ and $[Lig^-]$.

Stoichiometry. The yields of Cr(V) in the Cr(V)-Cr(III) mixtures resulting from the decomposition of Cr(1V) were estimated both spectrophotometrically (at 512 nm) and from ESR measurements. In the former case, eq 3 was used, where $[Cr]_T$ is the total chromium taken and

$$
Abs_{512} = \epsilon_5 [Cr^V] + \epsilon_3 ([Cr]_T - [Cr^V]) \qquad (3)
$$

c5 and *e3* are the extinction coefficients of the two products (181 and 22 M^{-1} cm⁻¹) in the reaction medium.

For determination using $ESR⁴$, the intensity of the Cr(V) single-peak signal at $g = 1.98$ was compared with those from known solutions of **bis(2-ethyl-2-hydroxybutanoato)oxochromate(V)** (complex II).5

To estimate Cr(II1) in the presence of Cr(V), the reaction mixture was acidified to pH 0.95, and an excess of $NABrO₃$ was added. The solution was allowed to stand for 1 h and was then subjected to anion-exchange chromatography (Dowex 1X2, 200-400 mesh, $ClO₄$ form). The green Cr(II1) fraction was eluted with distilled water, and its Cr content was estimated by the method of Haupt.⁶ The remaining Cr(VI) was slowly eluted from the resin with 1 M NaClO₄.

Rcsults are summarized in Table I.

Examination of the Cr(III) Product. The primary Cr(III) product, formed from the decomposition of 0.04 M Cr(1V) in a solution 0.3 M in both the ligating acid (HLig, I) and its anion (Lig-), was adsorbed on neither cation- nor anion-exchange resin, and as such, it could not be satisfactorily separated from the Cr(V) coproduct on either resin. Treatment of the acidified reaction mixture with $BrO₃$ (see preceding

- (4) (a) Fanchiang, **Y.-T.;** Base, **R.** N.; Gelerinter, E.; Gould, E. S. Inorg. *Chem.* 1985,24,4679. (b) Ghosh, M. C.; Gelerinter, E.; Gould, E. **S.** *Inorg. Chem.* 1991, *30,* 1039.
- *(5)* For ESR measurements in the presence of Mn(II), calibration of the Cr(V) signal was carried out with known concentrations of added $Mn(I)$, since the Cr(V) peak was found to be broadened perceptibly by the d^5 center.
- *(6)* Haupt, G. W. *J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. Sect. A* 1952, 48, **41.**

section) converted $Cr(V)$ to anionic $HCrO₄$. Although oxidation of Cr(II1) was negligible under the conditions used, some alteration of its ligand environment was evident, for the resulting separated green complex, which exhibited maxima at 574 $(\epsilon_1 = 36)$ and 411 nm $(\epsilon_2 = 55 \text{ M}^{-1})$ cm⁻¹), was strongly adsorbed on polysulfonate resin, from which it could be eluted with 0.5 M NaClO₄.

In separate experiments, the uncharged Cr(II1) complex known to be formed from the $Cr^{IV}-(Mo^{V})_2$ and the $Cr^{V}-$ ascorbate reactions^{3a,7} in similarly buffered media was treated with $BrO₃$ ⁻ under analogous conditions, yielding a Cr(II1) species having spectral and elution characteristics corresponding to those indicated above.

Kinetic **Measurements.** Reactions were followed by measuring absorbance changes at 510 nm, employing either a Beckman Model 5260 or a Cary 14 recording spectrophotometer. In addition, the formation of $Cr(V)$ could be monitored from the growth of its ESR signal, using an IBM ER 2OOD-SRC spectrometer. Solutions were buffered with measured quantities of the ligand acid, 2-ethyl-2-hydroxybutanoic acid (HLig, I), and its sodium salt (Na+Lig-). Ionic strength was regulated by addition of NaClO₄ solution. Reactions were initiated by adding the required quantity of Cr(VI) to a known excess of buffered As(III).

In the absence of added Mn(II), decay curves were nonexponential but corresponded closely to second-order profiles *(eq* 4). Treatment of data was in terms of observed absorbances (A_i) and the initial and final values *(A,* and *A,) (eq* 5).

$$
1/[Cr^{IV}]_t - 1/[Cr^{IV}]_0 = kt
$$
 (4)

$$
A_{t} = \frac{A_{0} + A_{\infty}kt[\text{Cr}^{IV}]_{0}}{1 + kt[\text{Cr}^{IV}]_{0}}
$$
 (5)

Reactions were markedly accelerated by $Mn(II)$. With $[Mn^{II}]$ > 0.010 M, reactions profiles were very nearly exponential, and peudofirst-order specific rates could be estimated by using unweighted nonlinear least-squares fitting of data points to the relationship describing simple first-order transformations. At lower concentrations of Mn(II), decay patterns corresponded to a superposition of competing first- and second-order processes, and a somewhat more elaborate treatment of **data** (see Results and Discussion) was needed to obtain component specific rates.

The disproportionation of Cr(IV) was found to be unaffected by addition of the perchlorates or nitrates of Fe(III), Cu(II), Ni(II), Ag(I), La(III), and Tb(III) and by $NH₄VO₃$ (each at the 0.003-0.01 M level). The loss of Cr(1V) was accelerated by addition of Ce(II1); the latter reaction yielded Cr(II1) but little Cr(V), suggesting that cerium (unlike manganese) catalyzes the reduction of Cr(IV) by the ligand present (reaction 2).

ReSdtS

Previous reports^{3b,4a} presented evidence that Cr(IV) in the buffer system used exists predominantly as the uncharged bis chelate

and that it undergoes partition between protonation levels

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n0 \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{H}_{2} & 0 \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{C}_{1} \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{H}_{1} & \text{H}_{1} \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{H}_{2} & \text{H}_{2} \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{H}_{3} & \text{H}_{4} \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{H}_{4} & \text{H}_{5} \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{H}_{6} & \text{H}_{7} \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{H}_{7} & \text{H}_{8} \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{H}_{8} & \text{H}_{9} \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{H}_{1} & \text{H}_{1} \\
\hline
$$

and between ligation levels

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n0 & 0 & \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n1 & 0 & \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array
$$

Both equilibria should be considered when the redox reactions at hand are treated.

The results of our stoichiometric experiments (Table I) indicate that under the conditions used $Cr(V)$ is converted to very nearly equivalent quantities of $Cr(III)$ and $Cr(V)$ whether or not Mn

⁽⁷⁾ Ghosh, S. K.; Bose, **R.** N.; Gould, E. S. *Inorg. Chem.* 1987, 26, **2684.**

Table II. Kinetic Data for the Uncatalyzed Disproportionation of Carboxylate-Bound Chromium(IV) (2 $Cr^{IV} \rightarrow Cr^{III} + Cr^{V})^{\alpha}$

	$10^{4}[Cr^{IV}],$ M	$\overline{10^3}$ [As ^{III}], м	[LigH], M٥	$[Lig-],$ \mathbf{M}^c	pH	k_{obs} , M ⁻¹ s^{-1d}	
	5.0	5.0	0.050	0.050	3.28	3.2(2.9)	
	5.0	10.0	0.050	0.050	3.35	4.0(3.6)	
	5.0	50.0	0.050	0.050	3.38	4.4 (4.0)	
	2.0	10.0	0.050	0.050	3.41	4.9 (4.5)	
	8.0	10.0	0.050	0.050	3.33	3.2(3.3)	
	8.0	10.0	0.010	0.010	3.37	15.1 (18.3)	
	8.0	10.0	0.020	0.020	3.30	6.6(8.4)	
	8.0	10.0	0.035	0.035	3.31	4.6(4.7)	
	8.0	10.0	0.10	0.10	3.32	1.59 (1.47)	
	8.0	10.0	0.20	0.20	3.36	0.86(0.92)	
	8.0	10.0	0.30	0.30	3.36	0.76(0.60)	
	8.0	10.0	0.25	0.050	2.63	0.76(0.78)	
	8.0	10.0	0.15	0.050	2.85	0.83(0.83)	
	8.0	10.0	0.10	0.050	3.00	1.18(1.17)	
	8.0	10.0	0.075	0.050	3.15	1.98 (1.82)	
	8.0	10.0	0.030	0.050	3.54	6.6(7.0)	
	8.0	10.0	0.020	0.050	3.71	12.5 (12.1)	
	8.0 ^e	10.0	0.020	0.050	3.71	11.5(12.1)	
	8.0	10.0	0.015	0.050	3.85	23(20)	
	8.0	10.0	0.010	0.050	4.05	44 (35)	

^a Reactions were carried out at 25 °C, μ = 0.50 M (NaClO₄); λ = 510 nm. ^{*b*}2-Ethyl-2-hydroxybutanoic acid (I). ^{*c*}2-Ethyl-2-hydroxy-
butanoate. ^{*d*} Second-order rate constants = $(-d[Cr^{IV}]/dt)/[Cr^{IV}]²;$ parenthetical values were calculated from *eq* 8, using parameters in text. *e* Reaction was monitored using ESR (see Experimental Section).

is added. The spectrum of the $Cr(V)$ product is that of the chelated CrVO anion **(II).4a**

The characteristics of the Cr(II1) species remaining after oxidation of $Cr(V)$ by BrO_3^- correspond to one of the products from reduction of the $Cr(V)$ complex II with $Fe(II)^8$ or $V(IV).^{4a}$ Its elution behavior and visible spectra are those expected from a unipositive bis chelate. 9 This, however, is not the initially formed Cr(II1) product, which is uncharged. The latter is taken to be a second bis chelate bearing an additional unidentate carboxylate group, a complex formed in the previously examined $Cr^{IV}-(Mo^{V})_{2}$ and Cr^V -ascorbate systems.^{3a,7} Partial aquation to our observed +1 complex (with loss of the pendant carboxylate but retention of the rings) has been shown to proceed under the oxidation conditions used.¹⁰

Kinetic data for the uncatalyzed bimolecular disproportionation of Cr(IV) appear in Table **11.** This reaction is **seen** to be inhibited by addition of the ligating anion, Lig-, and, more markedly, by increases in acidity. Treatment of rate data is in terms of (8),

$$
k_{\text{obs}} = \frac{k_1(K_{\text{H}})^2 + k_2(K_{\text{Lig}})^2[H^+]^2[\text{Lig}^-]^2}{(K_{\text{H}} + [H^+] + K_{\text{Lig}}[H^+][\text{Lig}^-])^2}
$$
 (8) the
relo

which is consistent with both the observed profiles and the known Cr(IV) equilibria, **(6)** and **(7).** The denominator of (8) reflects the partial conversion of Cr(1V) into "extraligated" species Cr^{IV}(Lig) and the conjugate base Cr^{IV}(OH), whereas the numerator points to two kinetic paths, with the *k,* component stemming from interaction of two units of $Cr^{\text{IV}}(OH)$ and the k_2 term from reaction involving **2** CrIV(Lig). Refinement of rates yields $k_1 = 181 \pm 7$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ and $k_2 = 0.71 \pm 0.06$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. Table I1 also compares observed specifc rates with those calculated using **(8)."** Note that the uncatalyzed disproportionation proceeds

Table **111.** Kinetic Data for the **Mn(I1)** Catalysis of the Disproportionation of $Cr(IV)$: Variation of $[Ca$ talvst $]^a$

10^3 [Mn $^{\rm II}$], M	$10^4 k_c$, s ^{-1 b}	$10^4 (k_c)_{\text{calcd}}$, s ^{-1 c}	$k_{\rm u}$, M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ d ⁻¹
0.10	0.50 ± 0.12	0.38	4.4 ± 0.1
0.20	0.86 ± 0.20	0.77	4.1 ± 0.1
0.40	1.33 ± 0.20	1.52	4.4 ± 0.1
0.80	3.1 ± 0.1	3.0	3.9 ± 0.1
1.60	5.1 ± 0.1	5.7	3.9 ± 0.1
3.0	9.3 ± 0.2	10.0	4.3 ± 0.1
6.0	18.1 ± 0.1	17.7	3.9 ± 0.1
10.0	27 ± 1	25	4.3 ± 0.2
10.0^e	25 ± 1	25	4.1^{f}
20.0	38 ± 1	38	4.1^{f}

^a Reactions were carried out at 25 °C, μ = 0.50 M (NaClO₄); solutions were 0.050 M each in the ligand acid (I) and its anion; pH 3.30- 3.38; $[Cr^{IV}]_0 = 8.0 \times 10^{-4}$ M throughout; $\lambda = 510$ nm. ^{*b*} Specific rates for catalyzed disproportionation, obtained from treatment of kinetic data according to (10). ϵ Values calculated from (11), using parameters in text. dSpecific rates for uncatalyzed bimolecular path. Walues obtained from ESR data. 'Values were kept invariant (at 4.1 **M-' s-l)** during these treatments.

preponderantly through "symmetric" transition states, i.e., those in which the two reacting partners are kinetically identical.

Of the added d- and f-block metal species examined, only Mn(I1) was found to catalyze the Cr(1V) disproportionation significantly. At $[Mn(I)] > 0.010$ M, the predominance of the catalyzed **path** leads to kinetic curves which are nearly exponential, implying a first-order dependence on [CrIV]. At lower values of $[Mn^H]$, profiles clearly reflect the competition between the catalyzed (k_c) and uncatalyzed (k_u) contributions (eq 9). Integration

$$
\frac{-d[Cr^{IV}]}{dt} = k_c[Cr^{IV}] + k_u[Cr^{IV}]^2
$$
 (9)

of *(9),* expression of concentrations in terms of absorbances *(A* values), and rearrangement of terms gives (10), where $\Delta \epsilon = (A_0)$ $-A_{\infty})/[Cr^{IV}]_{0}.$

$$
A_{t} = [e^{k_{c}t}(k_{u}A_{\infty}^{2} - k_{c}\Delta\epsilon A_{\infty} - k_{u}A_{0}A_{\infty}) + A_{\infty}(k_{c}\Delta\epsilon + k_{u}A_{0} - k_{u}A_{\infty}) - k_{c}A_{0}\Delta\epsilon]/[e^{k_{c}t}(k_{u}A_{\infty} - k_{c}\Delta\epsilon - k_{u}A_{0}) + k_{u}(A_{0} - A_{\infty})]
$$
\n(10)

Treatment of kinetic data for a series of **runs** in which [MnII] was varied yields kinetic components listed in Table III.^{12,13} At low concentrations of catalyst, catalyzed rates are **seen** to be nearly proportional to $[Mn¹¹]$, but at higher concentrations, dependency becomes perceptibly less steep than that corresponding to unit order. This modest departure from linearity is consistent with the formation of a weakly associated $Cr(IV)-Mn(II)$ complex, allowing the catalyzed reaction rate to be expressed as (11). Refinement in terms of (11) yields K (the $Cr^{IV}-Mn^{II}$ association

- (13) Bose, R. N.; Rajasekar, N.; Thompson, D. M.; Gould, E. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1986**, 25, 3349. **(14)** The observed variation of k_c with $[Mn^{II}]$ is also consistent with partial
- (14) The observed variation of k_c with $[Mn^{II}]$ is also consistent with partial conversion to a di- or polynuclear species analogous to those reported in alkoxy-¹⁵ and carboxylate-bound¹⁶ systems. In addition, dimeri complexes of **Mn(II1)** featuring the carboxylate ligand in the present study have **been** described.17 However, **on** examining the spectra of Mn(II)-carboxylate mixtures under our kinetic conditions, we find **no** irregularities attributable to such polyions.
- 15) (a) Murray, **B.** D.; Hope, H.; Power, H. P. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1985, 207,** 169. (b) Bochmann, M.; Wilkinson, G.; Young, G. **B.;** Hursthouse,
- M. **B.;** Malik, **K.** M. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Dulron Trans.* **1980,** 1863. 16) Rardin, R. L.; Bino, A,; Poganiuch, P.; Tolman, W. B.; Liu, *S.;* Lippard, *S.* J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1990,** 29, 812.
- 17) Saadeh, *S.* M.; Lah, M. *S.;* Pecoraro, V. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991,30,** *8.*

⁽⁸⁾ Bose, R. N.; Gould, E. *S. Inorg. Chem.* **1985,** *24,* 2832.

Spectral characteristics of a number of chelated and nonchelated (carboxylato)chromium(III) complexes have been summarized by (carboxylato)chromium(III) complexes have been summarized by Fanchiang.^{4a}
(10) In addition we have noted^{3b} that when Cr(IV) is allowed to decompose

for 5 days at $[Lig^-] = 0.1$ M, conversion to the tripositive state is complete, with 82% of the Cr(III) uncharged. Since this major fraction presumably includes the product from disproportionation of $Cr(V)$, as well as that from the (much slower) reduction of $Cr(V)$ by the ligand acid, this observation corroborates our view that the principal Cr(III) product from the disproportionation is uncharged. This fraction (ϵ_{587}) = 48; ϵ_{427} = 57) is also converted to the +1-charged bis chelate on treatment with acidified BrOg⁻.

⁽¹¹⁾ Inclusion of additional terms reflecting further bimolecular interactions between Cr(IV) species present (such as $Cr^{IV}(OH) + Cr^{IV}$ Lig) did not significantly improve the agreement between observed and calculated rate constants.

⁽¹²⁾ As an alternative to treating of our kinetic curves **in** terms of the relatively cumbersome expression (10), we utilized a numerical integration technique analogous to that described by Bose.¹³ Application of a fourth-order Runge-Kutta integration procedure to (9) produced curves that were compared to observed profiles. Variation of k_c and k_u to achieve optimal agreement yielded specific rates essentially the same as those obtained by "closed-form" integration (as listed in Table **111).**

Disproportionation of Carboxylato-Bound Cr(IV)

$$
k_{\rm c} = \frac{-d[\text{Cr}^{\rm IV}]/dt}{[\text{Cr}^{\rm IV}]} = \frac{kK[\text{Mn}^{\rm II}]}{1 + K[\text{Mn}^{\rm II}]}
$$
(11)

quotient) = 52 ± 11 M⁻¹ and *k* (the limiting rate at high Mn^{II}) $=$ (7.3 \pm 1.4) \times 10⁻³ s⁻¹.¹⁴⁻¹⁷

The catalytic component is noticeably inhibited by increases in $[H^+]$ and $[Lig^-]$. Typically, at 0.010 M Mn^{II}, the catalyzed rate is found to suffer a 50% decrease when the pH falls from 3.7 to 2.5 and a similar decrement **occurs** when [Lig-] is increased from 0.02 to 0.30 **M.** These variations indicate that CrIV(OH) is a somewhat more active species than either $Cr^{IV}(H_2O)$ or $Cr^{IV}(Lig)$. However, the slight magnitude of these trends, in conjunction with the limited precision of our k_c values, appears to rule out evaluation of individual contributions of the three Cr(IV) species to the catalyzed path.

Discussion

Our evidence that the primary $Cr(V)$ and $Cr(III)$ products are bis chelates (although the latter is not a thermodynamically favored species) is in accord with the earlier assignment of a bischelated structure to the predominant $Cr(IV)$ complex.^{3b} The reactions at hand appear to proceed without disruption of the chelate rings.

A salient feature of the present study is the prominence of the kinetic term involving $Cr^{IV}(OH)$ in the uncatalyzed component, in addition to our evidence for the superior reactivity of **this** species in the catalyzed path as well, suggesting, but not demanding, an important inner-sphere contribution to both. We propose sequence (12) as the predominant route for the uncatalyzed disproporin addition to our evidence for the superior reactivity of this species
in the catalyzed path as well, suggesting, but not demanding, an
important inner-sphere contribution to both. We propose sequence
(12) as the predomi

$$
2Cr^{IV}-OH \xrightarrow{-H_2O} Cr^{IV}-O-Cr^{IV} \xrightarrow{IET} Cr^{III}-O-Cr^{V} \xrightarrow{H^+} Cr^{III}+O-Cr^{V} (12)
$$

tionation with the step entailing internal electron transfer (IET) rate-determining. For the rapid follow-up step, dissociation of the $Cr(III,V)$ "successor complex", we favor breakage of the Cr^V-O bond in accord with the recognized greater substitution lability at the d^{1} center.¹⁸

Although the effective "bimolecular specific rate" $(0.4 M⁻¹ s⁻¹)$ for the Mn(I1)-catalyzed conversion falls well below that for the reaction involving 2 $Cr^{IV}OH$, Mn(II) catalysis assumes importance (and may predominate) at high $[{\rm Mn (II)}]$ and low $[C_r(IV)]$. This component almost certainly proceeds through Mn(III), i.e., via sequence (13) and (14). We suggest that internal electron transfer

$$
C r^{IV} - OH \xrightarrow{Mn^{II}} C r^{IV} - OH - Mn^{II} \rightarrow C r^{III} - OH + Mn^{III}
$$
 (13)
\n
$$
Cr^{IV} - OH \xrightarrow{Mn^{III}} Cr^{IV} - OH - Mn^{III} \rightarrow Cr^{V} - OH + Mn^{II}
$$
 (14)
\nwithin the Cr^{IV}(OH)Mn^{II} precursor is slower than that within

$$
Cr^{IV}-OH \xrightarrow{Mn-1} Cr^{IV}-OH-Mn^{III} \rightarrow Cr^{V}-OH+Mn^{II}
$$
 (14)

 $Cr^{IV}(OH)Mn^{III}$, for if (13) were the more rapid step, the growth of the $Cr(V)$ would not correspond to the loss of $Cr(IV)$.

The catalytic effectiveness of Mn(I1) may then be attributed to the ease with which it undergoes le⁻ oxidation to a state having a reduction potential lying within or near the gap between those for Cr(IV,III) and Cr(V,IV).¹⁹⁻²⁴ If, as we suspect, steps (13) and (14) proceed through OH-bridged intermediates, it is further advantageous that ligand substitutions about both Mn(I1) and $Mn(III)$ centers proceed more rapidly than those about $Cr(IV).^{24}$ In contrast, the couples $Ti(IV, III)$, $V(V, IV)$, and $Fe(III, II)$ are without catalytic action, presumably because the higher state in each case is too weakly oxidizing to convert $Cr(I\bar{V})$ to $Cr(V)$. Catalysis by Co(II1,II) is strongly disfavored by the very low rate of substitution characterizing the tripositive state, a difficulty which extends to very nearly all couples involving second- and third-row transition-metal centers where one or both states partaking in single-electron transactions is likely to be substitution inert. Elsewhere in the periodic table, the Ce(IV,III) couple appears to meet the requirements for catalysis, both with respect to its potential and to its substitution lability. However, its action is found to be complicated by the preferential oxidation, by Ce(IV), of the ligand in **our** medium, possibly reflecting the *ease* with which this tetrapositive lanthanide undergoes chelation with α -hydroxy carboxylic acids.²⁵

Acknowledgment. We thank Ms. Arla McPherson for technical assistance.

- **(19) Formal potentials for Cr(V1,V) and Cr(V,IV) have been estimateda as 0.60 and 1.39 V, values which have** been **critically considered by Linck.2'** These potentials, in conjunction with the value 1.20 V for Cr(VI,III),²² lead to a formal potential of 1.61 V for Cr(IV,III). The *E*^o value for **Mn(III,II)** has been estimated as 1.50 V by Ciavatta.²³ Note that these **values apply to 1 M H'; each is expected to be pH-dependent, but the dependencies may not conform to a single pattem. However, the ob**served catalysis by $Mn(II)$ of the Cr(IV) disproportionation indicates that the trend in reduction potentials, $Cr(IV) > Mn(III) > Cr(V)$, **pertains to our media, as well as to pH 0. (20) Csanyi, L. J.** In *Comprehensive Chemical Kinetics;* **Bamford, C. H.,**
- **Tipper, C. F. H., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1972; Vol. 7, p 510.**
-
-
- (21) Linck, R. G. Int. Rev. Sci.: Inorg. Chem., Ser. 2 1974, 9, 191.
(22) Beattie, J. K.; Haight, G. P. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1972, 17, 97.
(23) Ciavatta, L.; Grimaldi, M. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1969, 31, 3071.
(24) For kineti Adamson, A. W.; Welker, J. P.; Wright, W. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951,
73, 4786. (b) Fay, R. C.; Piper, T. S. Ibid. 1963, 85, 500.
(25) See, for example: (a) Krishna, B.; Tewari, K. C. J. Chem. Soc. 1961,
- **3097. (b) Srinivasan, V. S.; Gould, E. S.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1981,20,208.**

⁽¹⁸⁾ See, for example: Bramley, R.; Ji, J.-Y.; Lay, P. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991,** *30,* **1557.**